

## PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/652,619

08/31/00

SANDHU

**EXAMINER** 

021186

MM91/0925

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P

1600 TCF TOWER

121 SOUTH STH STREET

MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/652,619

Applicant

Sandhu et al.

Examiner

Renee Berry

Art Unit 2818

	•	
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication.  The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 21-31 and 55-99	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 21-31 and 55-99	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
13)□ a)□	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d	ve been received. ve been received in Application No locuments have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ne certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm	eent(s)	
15) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTC-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 In	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/652,619

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 21-31 and 91-99 are, drawn to an integrated circuit, classified in class 257, subclass 500+.
  - II. Claims 55-72 are, drawn to an integrated circuit with a copper metallization layer, classified in class 257, subclass 500+.
  - III. Claims 73-81 are, drawn to an integrated circuit with a nickel metallization layer, classified in class 257, subclass 629.
  - IV. Claims 82-90 are, drawn to an integrated circuit with a palladium metallization layer, classified in class 257, subclass 700+.
- 2. Claims 21-31 and 55-99 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising various types of metallization layers for forming the second layer of the integrated circuit. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/652,619

Art Unit: 2818

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. R. Berry whose telephone number is (703) 305-4544.

Renee R. Berry

September 23, 2001